

between the defendant Leonard Harper and the plaintiff, whereby it was agreed that £150 should be paid by the plaintiff in settlement of all stock accounts to that date, upon the grounds appearing in the notes of the Registrar of this Court made in taking the said accounts, and the exhibits therein referred to; and why the Registrar and the accountant to whom such accounts have been referred, in taking such accounts, should not, as to such stock accounts, start from the said statement of accounts; and why the costs of and incidental to this summons should not be paid by the defendants.

W. H. EYES, Jun., Deputy Registrar.

Dated this 17th day of October, 1884.

This summons was taken out by Henry Selwood Austin, of Christchurch, Solicitor.

True copy.—W. H. EYES, Jun., Deputy Registrar.

ORDER OF COURT (ACTIONS NOS. 30 AND 353).

In the Supreme Court of New Zealand, Canterbury District: between George Waldoek Ell, plaintiff, and Leonard Harper and Humphrey Hanmer (sole executors of the will of Philip Hanmer, deceased), defendants.

Wednesday, the 29th day of October, 1884.

UPON the application of the plaintiff, and upon hearing Mr. Austin, of counsel for plaintiff, and Mr. Martin, of counsel for defendants, it is ordered that, in taking accounts hereinunder, the order of this Court of the 27th day of June, 1884, if the Registrar and accountant are satisfied that there was a settled account, or what was so intended, between the plaintiff of the one part, and the defendant Leonard Harper, and the late Philip Hanmer, deceased, or either of them, covering all transactions between 1870 and 1873, such settled accounts are not to be disturbed. And it is further ordered that the defendants do pay to the plaintiff, for his cost of and incident to the said application and this order, the sum of £5 5s., and all necessary payments out of pocket.

By the Court.

W. H. EYES, Deputy Registrar.

(The seal of the Supreme Court of New Zealand.)

True copy.—W. H. EYES, Deputy Registrar.

No. 4.

ALLEGED CORRECT ORDERS.

In the Supreme Court of New Zealand, Canterbury District: between George Waldoek Ell, plaintiff, and Leonard Harper, defendant.

Wednesday, the 2nd day of September, 1885.

UPON reading the motion-paper set down, and the affidavit of the said George Waldoek Ell, filed herein on the 29th day of August, 1885, and the affidavit of James Crosby Martin, filed on the 1st day of September instant, and upon hearing the said George Waldoek Ell in person, and Mr. James Crosby Martin, of counsel for the defendant, it is ordered that the motion of the said George Waldoek Ell to vary the certificate herein of the Registrar and William Henry Hargreaves on the ground of mistake be dismissed, and that the said George Waldoek Ell do forthwith pay to the defendant, or his solicitor, the sum of £3 3s., costs of and incidental to the said motion, and the further sum of £1 3s. out-of-pocket costs, making in the whole the sum of £4 6s., and that the plaintiff do have leave to appeal from this order if such appeal lies.

By the Court.

(The seal of the Supreme Court of New Zealand.)

A. R. BLOXAM, Registrar.

Office copy.—R. W. MATHIAS, Deputy Registrar, Supreme Court, Christchurch.

In the Supreme Court, New Zealand, Canterbury District: between George Waldoek Ell, plaintiff, and Leonard Harper, and Humphrey Hanmer (sole executor of the will of Philip Hanmer, deceased).

Wednesday, 2nd day of September, 1885.

UPON reading the motion-paper set down, and the affidavit of George Waldoek Ell, filed herein on the 29th August, 1885, and the affidavit of James Crosby Martin, filed the 1st day of September, and upon hearing the said George Waldoek Ell in person, and James Crosby Martin, of counsel for defendants, it is ordered that the motion of the said George Waldoek Ell to vary the certificate of the Registrar and William Henry Hargreaves on the ground of mistake be dismissed, and that the said George Waldoek Ell do forthwith pay to the defendants, or their solicitor, the sum of £3 3s., costs of and incidental to the said motion, and the further sum of £1 3s. out-of-pocket costs, making in the whole the sum of £4 6s., and that the plaintiff do have leave to appeal from this order if such appeal lies.

By the Court.

(Seal.)

A. R. BLOXAM, Registrar.

True copy.—W. H. E., D.R.