

this course, for it shows that you are anxious to court inquiry, but I do not think you would be wise in pushing the case into Court for the sake of victory, &c. It would be victory dearly bought, not on account of costs of Court, for that would be trifling compared to “fees out of pocket” that you would lose through the “set-back” that vaccination would receive. There are so many people with “fears” and prejudice that they would be only too delighted to read the details that this case would bring out, and make use of them in endeavouring to shake the confidence of the public. And I am certain it would take a year or two to restore the public confidence in vaccination. I cannot possibly enter into the sentimental side, for as soon as sentiment is allowed to influence business wisdom takes flight. You get the name of a good practical business-man, and your success as a Public Vaccinator is due to the businesslike way in which you deal with it. And you must admit that you have succeeded in deriving a good income from this source; and, if your reputation is not interfered with, I think you may safely conclude, from the “takings” of the last twelve months, and the way that you know I am dealing with vaccination, that a regular source of income is assured to you. From a business point of view, therefore, it would be suicidal to push this case to an issue. The moral worth of a victory such as you hope to gain suffers a considerable discount when obtained at such a cost as I reckon you would suffer. That tetanus case did you more harm than you imagine; but I need say no more—it is your own business, and perhaps I am treading where I have no right. Kindly accept this letter in a friendly spirit. Yours truly,
F. W. MANSFIELD.

EXHIBIT F.

The MERCANTILE ASSOCIATION to SKERRETT and WYLIE, Solicitors, Wellington.

1898. Re Roberts. £ s. d.

June 11. Attending Mr. Lambert, receiving instructions; drawing assignment of cause of action; engrossing same; drawing and engrossing discontinuance; attending you therewith	} 2 2 0
" 13. Attending you, conferring, and advising; attending you and Mr. Roberts, conferring when he confirmed his action of signing assignment.	
" 14. Attending you, conferring, and advising.	
" 20. Attending Mr. Lambert, conferring, and advising as to letter from Mr. Cording; attending Mr. Izard, conferring.	
Examined.—SKERRETT and WYLIE, Wellington, 17th August, 1898.	

EXHIBIT G.

WELLINGTON VACCINATION DISTRICT.—PUBLIC VACCINATORS AND VACCINATION STATIONS.

Public Vaccinator.	Vaccination Station.	Time of Vaccination.
Dr. J. Ewart	The Hospital, Newtown ...	Every Tuesday and Friday, from 11 a.m. to noon.
Dr. W. B. Tripe	Dr. Tripe's surgery, Willis Street	Every Tuesday and Friday, from 2 p.m. to 3 p.m.
Dr. Teare	88, Cuba Street	Every Monday and Thursday, from 2 p.m. to 3 p.m.
Mr. H. Brittain, chemist ...	Te Aro Dispensary, Manners Street	Every Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday, from 11 a.m. to 3 p.m.
Mr. W. C. Fitzgerald, chemist	Central Pharmacy, Willis Street...	Daily, from 11 a.m. to 3 p.m.

EXHIBIT H.

EXTRACT from the Evidence of Dr. WALLACE MACKENZIE from the Shorthand-writer's Notes taken at the Trial.

1. Do you remember the meeting of the Medical Association held some little time ago to consider the question of vaccination?—I remember a meeting that was held some time ago to consider a report which Dr. Mason, of Otaki, read on the subject of vaccinators.
2. Was Fitzgerald's case mentioned then?—Fitzgerald was mentioned a good deal; I do not know whether his case was or not.
3. How long ago was this, Dr. Mackenzie?—I think it was in May of this year.
4. Who was it that had most to say about Fitzgerald?—I do not know that any one had more than another. I did not say anything about him myself, but most of the others did.
5. What did they say?—They were speaking of vaccination in general, and chemists' vaccination in particular.
6. And Mr. Fitzgerald in particular?—Yes.
7. Well, did you approve or disapprove of that part of the proceedings?—I approved of it.
8. And discussed about Mr. Fitzgerald?—Well, it is this way: I disapprove of anybody but doctors vaccinating. But I disapprove of attacking an individual who has got a right from the Government—has got authority to do the work. I would attack the Government.
9. What you objected to was the system?—Yes.
10. And you objected to medical men combining together to attack a servant of that system?—No, I did not object, but I did not want to be in it, and I went away before the meeting was over. I felt that Mr. Fitzgerald had as much right to vaccinate as anybody else in this country.