		With T	wo Cables.			£	s.	d.	
1	Revenue—900,000 words at 1s.	6d.	•••	•••		67,500		Ö	- 1
H		•••		•••	•••	306,000	0	0	
	Loss, first year, at $2\frac{1}{2}$	per cen	t. interest	on capita	d	238,500	0	0	
	Or, if interest $2\frac{3}{4}$ per c	ent.		•••	••	248,500	0	0	
Australia '	would bear one-third the loss.								
With	a through rate of 3s. 6d., or P.	acific cl	narge of 2s	. per wor	d, the	figures wo	uld	be:	<del></del>
		One	Cable.			£	s.	d.	
F	Revenue	•••	•••		• • •	90,000	0	0	
E	Expenditure (taking interest at	$2\frac{1}{2}$ per	cent.)			175,500	0	0	
	Loss first year	•••	•••		• • •	85,500	0	0	
		90,500	0	0					
		Two	Cables.			•			
F	Revenue					90,000	0	0	
I	Expenditure (2½ per cent. intere	est)		• • •		306,000	0	0	
	Loss first year		•••	•••		216,000	0	0	
	Or at 23 per cent.		•••			226,000	0	0	

have before said, it would not be safe to calculate on a greater average rate of increase of traffic than 5 per cent. per annum. Assuming this to be accepted, then with two cables, and taking the interest on capital at  $2\frac{1}{2}$  per cent., and the Pacific rate at 2s. a word, the loss during the first ten years would be approximately as follows:—

Loss.

... £622,990 0 0

								£	s.	d.
	First year	•••		•••			•••	216,000	0	0
	Second year		• • •				• • •	211,500	0	0
	Third year	• • •			•••	•••	•••	206,775	0	0
	Fourth year	•••		•••	• • •	•••		201,814	0	0
	Fifth year	•••	• • •			•••		196,604	0	0
	Sixth year	•••	• • •	•••	•••		• • •	191,135	0	0
	Seventh year		•••	•••				185,392	0	0
	Eighth year			• • •	• • •	• • •		179,361	0	0
	Ninth year	•••			• • •			173,029	0	0
	Tenth year	• • •	•••		•••	•••		166,380	0	. 0
			'otal	•••	•••	• • •		,927,990	0	0
or an acc	cumulated loss	in ten y	ears of £1	,927,990,	without	counting	intere	st.		
Wit	h one cable th	e loss w	ould be			-				
								æ	œ	đ
	First year			•••	•••			£ 85,500	s. 0	d. 0
	First year Second year	•••	•••	•••			,.	85,500	0	0
	Second year			•••			•••	85,500 81,000	0	0
	Second year Third year		•••		•••	•••	-	85,500 81,000 76,275	0	0
	Second year Third year Fourth year	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••		85,500 81,000 76,275 71,314	0	0 0 0
	Second year Third year Fourth year Fifth year			•••	•••			85,500 81,000 76,275	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
	Second year Third year Fourth year Fifth year Sixth year	•••		•••		•••	•••	85,500 81,000 76,275 71,314 66,104 60,635	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
	Second year Third year Fourth year Fifth year Sixth year					•••		85,500 81,000 76,275 71,314 66,104	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
	Second year Third year Fourth year Fifth year Sixth year Seventh year Eighth year Ninth year					•••		85,500 81,000 76,275 71,314 66,104 60,635 54,892	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
	Second year Third year Fourth year Fifth year Sixth year Seventh year Eighth year					•••	•••	85,500 81,000 76,275 71,314 66,104 60,635 54,892 48,861	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0

If we allow an increase of 7 per cent. per annum, which, I fear, is not likely to be realised, the loss would still be very serious, as shown in the following table:-

Loss in ten years

Seven per Cent. Increase.			Expenditure.						Expend	itur	θ.
			£ 175,500	s. 0			_		£ 306,000	s. 0	
			Revenue.			Loss with One Cable.			Loss with Two Cables.		
		_	£	8.	d.	£	s.	d,	£	s.	đ.
First year	,	words at 2s.	90,000	0	0	85,500	0	0	216,000		Ö
Second year	963,000	"	96;300	0	0	79,200	0	0	209,700	0	0
Third year	$\dots$ 1,030,410	"	103,041	0	0	72,459	0	0	202,959	0	0
Fourth year	$\dots 1,102,539$	"	110,254	0	0	65,246	0	0	195,746	0	0
Fifth year	$\dots 1,179,716$	"	117,972	0	0	57,528	0	0	188,028	0	0
Sixth year	$\dots 1,262,297$	"	126,230	0	0	49,270	0	0	179,770	0	0.
Seventh year	$\dots 1,350,657$	"	135,065	0	0	40,435	0	0	170,935	0	0
Eighth year	$\dots 1,445,202$	"	144,520	0	0	30,980	0	0	161,480	0	0
Ninth year	$\dots 1,546,366$	,,	154,636	0	0	20,864	0	0	151,364	Ō	0
Tenth year	$\dots 1,654,611$	"	165,461	0	0	10,039	0	0	140,539	Ŏ	ŏ

If we take the Committee's somewhat lower estimate of the yearly expenditure, and put the cost of the cable at £2,000,000, which under such a specification as would satisfy the Imperial