

The petition of Tuaiwa Ngatipare is almost word for word the same as that of Honana Maioha. It, however, contains another clause than that of Honana Maioha, as follows:—

"No objection was made to the boundaries of the first [Compensation] Court of 1866 by the persons prosecuting the appeal in the Court of 1894."

Correct translation of extra clause in the petition of Tuaiwa Ngatipare.—JAMES MACKAY. May, 1904.

NOTE.—This petition is written in the singular, except in one or two places where it applies to others than the petitioner. In the sitting of the Commissioners there were found to be many interested besides the petitioner.—J.M.

APPENDIX F.

EXTRACT FROM EVIDENCE TAKEN IN THE COMPENSATION COURT AT PORT WAIKATO IN
FEBRUARY, 1866.

JUDGE Monro's minute of Te Wetini Mahikai's evidence is as follows:—

"*Te Wetini Mahikai* (of Tainui).—I have heard the statement of the previous witnesses. What they have said is true. The Ngatitahinga are one tribe, but there are many hapus among them. The pieces of land could be pointed out. I know my own, but not those of others. I know Rurunui; it belongs to Hohua, Tangitengoro, and Hapuku. They belong to his hapu alone. His hapu is Ngatitahinga. I have no claim on Rangikahu. The Tainui boundary is at Tauterei."

The Crown Agent's (Mr. Mackay) notes show in Maori, "*Ko te rohe kia Tainui kei Tauterei*," which, translated, means, "The boundary of the Tainui is at Tauterei." Mr. Mackay further notes, "Supplied names of loyal Natives and rebels in block between Tauterei and Raglan."

Correct extract.—JAMES MACKAY. May, 1904.

Approximate Cost of Paper.—Preparation, not given; printing (1,425 copies), £8 1s.

By Authority: JOHN MACKAY, Government Printer, Wellington.—1904.

Price, 6d]