

ENFORCEMENTS OF AWARDS, &c., under the Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act during the Year ending 31st March, 1906—continued.

Case taken by	Trade affected.	Nature of Breach.	Defendant.	Decision.	Penalty.			Arrears of Wages ordered to be paid.
					Fine.	Costs.		
					£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	
<i>Wellington Industrial District—continued.</i>								
Dept.	Wellington Timber-yards and Sawmills	Accepting less than award rates of wages	S. McBurney (employee)	Convicted	No penalty.			
"	"	"	W. Thomson (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 3 0		
"	"	"	W. Willis (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 3 0		
"	"	"	Edward List (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 3 0		
"	Wellington Typographers*	Failing to pay award rates of wages	A. Baillie and Co....	"	10 0 0	1 19 10		
"	"	"	Joseph Ivess	"	3 0 0	0 3 0		
"	"	"	"	"	3 0 0	0 3 0		
"	"	"	E. W. Knowles	"	25 0 0	0 3 0		
"	"	"	Hector Bull (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 3 0		
"	"	Accepting less than award rates of wages	Frederick Jones (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 3 0		
"	"	"	Charles Hyett (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 3 0		
"	"	Failing to pay award rates of wages	E. W. Knowles	"	2 0 0	0 19 2	40 0 0	
"	"	Failing to pay for overtime, and not granting half-holiday	"	"	2 0 0			
"	"	Accepting less than award rates of wages	John Connolly (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 3 0		
<i>Nelson Industrial District.</i>								
Dept.	Nelson Drivers	Failing to give preference to unionists	John Orsman	Convicted	2 0 0	1 1 8		
"	"	Failing to pay award rates of wages	Wise and Co.	"	2 0 0	1 3 11		
"	"	"	"	"	2 0 0			
"	"	Accepting less than award rates of wages	John Harris (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 6 0		
"	"	"	John Russ (employee)	"	0 10 0	0 6 0		
"	"	Failing to give preference to unionists	W. A. Roughton	Dismissed.				
"	"	"	Robert Carter	Withdrawn.				
"	"	"	John Everett	"				
"	"	"	Robertson Bros.	"				
"	"	"	Langland and Co.	"				
"	"	"	Henry Baigent	"				
"	"	"	Cooper and Son	Convicted	2 0 0	1 3 11		
"	"	"	Nelson Brick and Pottery Company	"	2 0 0	1 1 3		

* In this case the back wages amounted to £51 16s., and no order regarding payment was made by the Court. On the arrears being sued for, the Magistrate dismissed the case. The decision was appealed against, and in the Supreme Court the Chief Justice gave judgment for the full amount, with costs.