A.--5.

Fifth Day. 23 April 1907. Mr. HALDANE: "Is of opinion," for instance.

MILITARY DEFENCE. Mr. DEAKIN: Something of that sort, safeguarding the statement "without wishing to commit to immediate action." If we agreed to it we would not wish to commit our Governments to immediate action. We could not. It is a matter for themselves.

Mr. HALDANE: The Conference is not an executive Conference, and I should have thought if you omitted those words and put in such words as "is of opinion" it would make it quite clear that the Conference is expressing only an opinion.

Mr. DEAKIN: Yes.

Sir WILFRID LAURIER: It is nothing more than an opinion there. It is to be left to the different Legislatures to legislate upon.

Mr. DEAKIN: I suggest the omission of these words, and the Resolution would then run: "That this Conference welcomes and cordially approves "the exposition of general principles embodied in the statement of the Secretary of State for War and is of opinion that for the service of the Empire "a General Staff," and so on.

Mr. HALDANE: "Is of opinion that there is a need."

Mr. DEAKIN: Yes; "Is of opinion that there is a need of developing throughout the Empire."

Dr. JAMESON: Why substitute "is of opinion" for the more emphatic "recognises and affirms"?

Mr. DEAKIN: In order to emphasize the fact that we are not executive but merely a consultative Conference, and that the governments are the people to decide. I have no objection to "recognises and affirms," but it is suggested that it might appear to go a little further than our function warrants.

Sir JOSEPH WARD: We say we are not, of course, committing our countries to immediate action.

Dr. JAMESON: We are only a Conference and cannot do anything. Why should not we "recognise and affirm"? They are stronger words, and I do not see why we should weaken it.

Mr. DEAKIN: I have no objection to "recognises and affirms," but was endeavouring to meet Sir Frederick Borden's view.

Sir FREDERICK BORDEN: If the words "to immediate action" are left cut, I am quite satisfied with the rest, and I have no particular objection then.

CHAIRMAN: It is suggested that the whole sub-sentence from "without" to "represented" should come out.