which the company had to get rid of, and that the agency for the Osborne Company's implements had not been given to the Harvester Company.

10. It was proved that the Harvester Company had not itself imported any Osborne drills into New Zealand, and there was no evidence to show that the company had sold any of the implements imported by it into New Zealand at reduced prices, except in the case of the sale of a Deering drill to Arthur Henry Darnley at the price of £41. The price was not below that specified in the statement, but £8 was allowed for an old drill taken over by the company, and this was, no doubt, a very liberal allowance, and may, to the extent to which it was excessive, be regarded as a reduction of price.

11. In view of the fact that the only material reduction in prices proved by the complainants was in connection with Osborne drills which had been imported into New Zealand before the 1st June, 1905, and which were being sold by the Harvester Company as old stock, and concluding that this reduction may be regarded as merely temporary, the Board does not recommend that any relief should be granted to the complainants under the Act.

Dated at Christchurch, this 12th day of October, 1907.

W. A. Sim, Chairman.
James G. Wilson.
W. W. Charters.
A. H. Cooper.
Joseph Barugh.

Approximate Cost of Printing.—Preparation, not given; printing (1,300 copies), 19s. 6d.

By Authority: John Mackay, Government Printer, Wellington.—1907.

Price 3d.]