

Succession to Honatana's land was granted to—(1) Karoraina Kahukoka, 1 share; (2) Hohapata Kautewi, 1 share; (3) Ramari Karuwhero, 1 share.

The Court found there was no will, and so the applicant claims that his branch was overlooked, and that his father, Ngaweke, was exactly in the same degree of kinship as Hohapata Kautewi and Ramari Karuwhero, should have succeeded to two-fourths, and they to one-fourth each, and that Karoraina Kahukoka should get nothing.

Ramari Karuwhero, now living, supports applicant's contention, though by so doing she is acting against her own interest as defined by the succession order now in question.

J. G. HADDON.

Application granted. Order in Council issued, dated the 17th day of January, 1910.

[Extract from New Zealand Gazette, 27th January, 1910.]

The Land Titles Protection Act, 1908.—Consenting to an Application to the Chief Judge of the Native Land Court in pursuance of Section 39 of the Native Land Court Act, 1894.

PLUNKET, Governor.

ORDER IN COUNCIL.

At the Government Buildings, at Wellington, this seventeenth day of January, 1910.

Present:

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR J. G. WARD, K.C.M.G., PRESIDING IN COUNCIL.

Whereas by an order of the Native Land Court made the tenth day of November, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-three, purporting to determine the successors to the share or interest of Honatana. deceased, in the land known as Te Akau Block, certain persons were declared to be the successors to the said share or interest:

And whereas it has been alleged that the said order was made through an error, mistake, or omission within the meaning of section thirty-nine of the Native Land Court Act, 1894:

And whereas an application has been made to His Excellency the Governor in Council to consent to the making of an application to the Chief Judge of the Native Land Court, in pursuance of the provisions of section thirty-nine of the Native Land Court Act, 1894, to amend the said order for the purpose of rectifying the said alleged error, mistake, or omission:

purpose of rectifying the said alleged error, mistake, or omission:

And whereas on inquiry, held in pursuance of the provisions of the Land Titles Protection Act, 1908, it appears that a prima facie case has been established, and that it would be inexpedient to dispose

of it by remedial legislation, or by any other procedure which would obviate litigation:

Now, therefore, His Excellency the Governor of the Dominion of New Zealand, in pursuance of all powers and authorities in that behalf vested in him by the Land Titles Protection Act, 1908, or otherwise howsoever, and acting by and with the advice and consent of the Executive Council of the said Dominion, doth hereby consent to the making of an application to the Chief Judge of the Native Land Court, in pursuance of the provisions of the said section thirty-nine of the Native Land Court Act, 1894, for the purpose of rectifying the said alleged error, mistake, or omission, and the said order of the said Court, and any subsequent orders or instruments of title issued pursuant thereto, may be subject of an order of the Chief Judge under the said section thirty-nine of the Native Land Court Act, 1894.

J. F. Andrews, Clerk of the Executive Council.

The Native Land Court Act, 1894, and its Amendments.

To His Honour, the Chief Judge, Native Land Court, Wellington.

The undersigned do hereby apply under the provisions of section 39 of the Native Land Court Act, 1894, to have the names of John Hetit, Nikora, Hauparoa, Rangihaimata, and all the owners of Otorohanga No. 4 Block included in the title to the Tapuaehounuku Block, containing 4,768 acres, and situate in Blocks XIII and XIV, Pirongia Survey District, on the following grounds: The Tapuaehounuku Block was originally part of the Otorohanga Block, which was investigated by Judge Mair, in conjunc-