

And the question being put, That the words proposed to be struck out be so struck out, the Committee divided, and the names were taken down as follow :—

*Ayes*, 4.—Mr. Ell, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Hogg, Mr. Witty

*Noes*, 5.—Mr. Anderson, Hon. Mr. Duncan, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Lang, Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward.

So it passed in the negative.

*Resolved*, That subclause (a) as printed stand part of the clause.

Mr. Lang moved to amend clause 4 by striking out the word “ten” in subclause (b), with a view of inserting the word “five” in lieu thereof.

On the question being put, That the word proposed to be omitted stand part of the subclause, the Committee divided, and the names were taken down as follow :—

*Ayes*, 6.—Hon. Mr. Duncan, Mr. Ell, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Hogg, Mr. Witty Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward.

*Noes*, 3.—Mr. Anderson, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Lang.

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

*Resolved*, That subclause (b) as printed stand part of the clause.

*Resolved*, That subclause (c) as printed stand part of the clause.

*Resolved*, That subclause (d) as printed stand part of the clause.

*Resolved*, That subclause (e) as printed stand part of the clause.

*Resolved*, That subclause (f) as printed stand part of the clause.

*Resolved*, That subclause (g) as printed stand part of the clause.

And the original question being put, That clause 4 as printed stand part of the Bill, the Committee divided, and the names were taken down as follow :—

*Ayes*, 5.—Mr. Anderson, Hon. Mr. Duncan, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Lang, Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward.

*Noes*, 4.—Mr. Ell, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Hogg, Mr. Witty

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

Clause 5. Mr. Witty moved to amend clause 5 by striking out the word “original” in the first line of subclause (1), with a view of inserting the word “present” in lieu thereof.

On the question being put, That the word proposed to be omitted stand part of the clause, the Committee divided, and the names were taken down as follow :—

*Ayes*, 5.—Mr. Anderson, Hon. Mr. Duncan, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Lang, Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward.

*Noes*, 4.—Mr. Ell, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Hogg, Mr. Witty

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

Mr. Guthrie moved to amend clause 5 by striking out the words “and, in addition, one-fourth of the sum (if any) by which the unimproved value at the date of the purchase exceeds such original value,” after the word “computed,” in line 11, subclause (1), with a view of inserting the following words in lieu thereof “in the case of occupiers of Crown lands a sum which at the time of the purchase would make the rent reserved in the lease up to the time of the purchase equal to the rent which would have been paid had the land when taken up by the tenant originally been land-for-settlement land.”

And the question being put, That the words proposed to be struck out be so struck out, the Committee divided, and the names were taken down as follow :—

*Ayes*, 3.—Mr. Anderson, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Lang.

*Noes*, 6.—Hon. Mr. Duncan, Mr. Ell, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Hogg, Mr. Witty, Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward.

So it passed in the negative.

Mr. Witty moved to amend the clause by striking out the words “one-fourth” in line 11 of subclause (1), after the word “addition,” with a view of inserting the words “two-thirds” in lieu thereof.

On the question being put, That the words proposed to be struck out be so struck out, the Committee divided, and the names were taken down as follow :—

*Ayes*, 4.—Mr. Ell, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Hogg, Mr. Witty

*Noes*, 5.—Mr. Anderson, Hon. Mr. Duncan, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Lang, Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward.

So it passed in the negative.

The original question being put, That subclause (1) of clause 5 as printed stand part of the Bill, it was resolved in the affirmative.

*Resolved*, That subclause (2) as printed stand part of the Bill.

Mr. Witty moved to amend subclause (3) of clause 5 by striking out the words “or as to one-fourth part thereof in cash, and as to the balance, with interest at five per centum per annum by half-yearly instalments, according to the table in the First Schedule hereto.”

And the question being put, That the words proposed to be omitted stand part of the clause, the Committee divided, and the names were taken down as follow :—

*Ayes*, 5.—Mr. Anderson, Hon. Mr. Duncan, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Lang, Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward.

*Noes*, 4.—Mr. Ell, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Hogg, Mr. Witty

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

And the original question being put, That subclause (3) as printed stand part of the clause, it was resolved in the affirmative.

*Resolved*, That subclause (4) as printed stand part of the clause.

*Resolved*, That subclause (5) as printed stand part of the clause.

*Resolved*, That clause 5 as printed stand part of the Bill.

Clause 6. *Resolved*, That clause 6 as printed stand part of the Bill.

Clause 7. *Resolved*, That clause 7 as printed stand part of the Bill.

Clause 8. *Resolved*, That subclause (1) as printed stand part of the clause.

*Resolved*, That subclause (2) as printed stand part of the clause.

Mr. Witty moved to amend subclause (3) of clause 8 by the addition of the following words thereto “Provided that nothing in this section shall do away with residential conditions as prescribed in the principal Act.”

*Resolved*, on the motion of the Right Hon. Sir J. G. Ward, That the clause be postponed for further consideration.

Clause 9. *Resolved*, That clause 9 as printed stand part of the Bill.

Clause 10. Mr. Anderson moved to amend clause 10 by striking out the word “applicant,” in line 44, subsection v).

On the question being put, That the word proposed to be omitted stand part of the clause, it was resolved in the affirmative.

*Resolved*, That clause 10 as printed stand part of the Bill.