

LIST OF RECOMMENDED CASES, WITH REMARKS—*continued*.

Name.	Service.	Remarks.	Recommendations and Suggestions.
Kerr, James (by daughter), (M.C. 2573)	Royal Artillery	There is no proof that claimant retired for the purpose of settling in district where free grants of land were made, but left for Canterbury to take up a position as Artillery Drill Instructor and settled there	Held that claim is not entitled to consideration.
Kerr, William (M.C. 1093)	Auckland Colonial Defence Force	Service in this corps was for three years, or until legally discharged. There is no evidence that claimant was legally discharged	Held that claimant is not entitled to consideration.
Langman, Thomas (M.C. 2508)	Taranaki Volunteers	A further Taranaki claim in which applicant denies having received allowance provided for and set opposite his name in the <i>Taranaki Provincial Gazette</i> , 1872	Held that claimant received allowance under Act of 1865, as set forth in <i>Taranaki Gazette</i> , 1872, and is not entitled to further consideration.
McAuley, James (M.C. 1366)	H.M. 14th Regiment	Discharged from Imperial service in 1864, and settled in the Hawke's Bay district in 1865, and has resided in New Zealand ever since. There was some doubt as to the date of settlement, but Captain Goodwyn - Archer, Veterans' Home, states that from records in his possession it is shown that claimant settled in 1865	Grant of £30 recommended.
Marsh, Esau (M.C. 2507)	Taranaki Volunteers	The same remarks apply to this claim as to that of Thomas Langman above	Held that claimant received allowance as set forth in the <i>Taranaki Gazette</i> , 1872, and is not entitled to further consideration.
Neale, Joseph (M.C. 2467)	Auckland Volunteers	Completed five years' efficient Volunteer service, during a part of which claimant was engaged in active service	Grant of £10 recommended.
Nicolson, Angus (M.C. 2253)	Auckland Naval Volunteers	Completed five years' continuous Volunteer service, part of which time claimant was on active service	Ditto.
Parkes, Frederick R. (by widow), (M.C. 2374)	Wanganui Rifles	Completed five years' continuous Volunteer service as captain of the corps. Was also on active service	..
Preece, George A. (M.C. 1618)	Hawke's Bay Military Settlers, Forest Rangers Defence Force	It appears that though claimant did not serve in corps that came within the Acts, as the conditions of enrolment made provision for grants, Major Gascoyne and Lieut.-Colonel Goring credit him with having two years' continuous service in the field, which supports the Magistrate's recommendation that claimant is entitled at least for two years' active service, during which he was actually engaged against the late Queen's enemies	Grant of £20 recommended.
Pugsley, Silas (M.C. 2322)	Greytown Rifles	Though this claimant completed five years' Volunteer service, he has had no active service in the field	Held that claimant is not entitled to consideration.
Ross, Richard (M.C. 1717)	Auckland Naval Volunteers	Has proved six months' active service. The claim comes under the Volunteer Land Act, 1865	Grant of £5 recommended.
Shirley, Thomas E. (M.C. 1792)	Hawke's Bay Volunteers and Militia	Claimant did not complete five years' Volunteer service, but rendered valuable service under Majors Biggs and Westrup against the rebel Natives, at the time when Te Kooti escaped, and took part in several engagements	Grant of £10 recommended.
Simpson Edmund S.T.	H.M. 70th Regiment	Retired from the Imperial service in 1865 and settled in New Zealand, where he has resided ever since	Grant of £30 recommended.
Smith, Henry (by daughter), (M.C. 2312)	Land Transport Corps	This is a case where a remission certificate for £30 was issued under the Act of 1891 and allowed to lapse. The certificate stated clearly on its face that "it shall be barred unless exercised on or before the 31st March, 1894." Claimant made no application for land or a debenture within the time specified, and the certificate became null and void. The Magistrate has recommended the claim, stating, <i>inter alia</i> , that "the equities in this case are all on the side of the applicant, and I consider the Government should make some provision to meet a case of this kind." Claimant had ample time in which to exercise the certificate, but failed, and it does not appear to be a case for favourable consideration in this inquiry	Held that claim is not entitled to consideration.