

due to the incursions of the Hauhaus (1865-67), and the lapse of time for two and a half generations, all converged to render it now a task for the very gods to ascertain as to the true occupation of the block. We uphold the original award of the Court and all subsequent orders, whether by way of partition, succession, or otherwise. We submit that the petition under inquiry has not the force to warrant a favourable report.

Mr. MCGREGOR controverted the statement that no evidence had been brought forward to show that the Court of 1868 had departed from its usual procedure. The grievance of the petitioners was not against the Court, but against those who fixed the list in 1868. The Court simply approved the list submitted. We admit that Tiopira Kaukau had every right to leave out his own name, but that he had no right to leave out others. If we have proved that we have a right by ancestry and occupation we are entitled to inclusion.


Report to be made to Chief Judge.

Hearing fees charged: McGregor, £6 (paid); Mitchell, £2 (paid); Peta, 10s. (paid); Wepiha, 10s. (paid); Pere, 10s.

HE RARANGI INGOA MO HINEWHAKI 2, 3.


1. Te Paea Iho.
2. Peta Tiakiwai.
3. Te Rauna Hape.

List handed in by Peta Tiakiwai, 27th February, 1926.



List: Te Paea Iho, Te Rauna Hape.

HINEWHAKI No. 2.



Handed in by Wepiha Karaitiana, 27th February, 1926.