C.—3.

It is natural, of course, that wood-identification should figure prominently in all utilization problems. Many imported wood products must first be identified before any search for a possible locally-grown substitute can be instituted. Likewise explanations of failures in the use of various products is impossible until the identity of the timber concerned has been established beyond all possible doubt, and it is for this reason that investigations are being made continually into the microscopic structure of both local and imported woods so that the timbers regularly submitted for examination and report may be adequately dealt with.

13

CHAPTER IV.—THE TIMBER TRADE.

1. Production.

The annual cut of sawn timber for the period ended 31st March, 1935, is estimated at approximately 250,000,000 ft. board measure, or over 50,000,000 ft. board measure more than the previous year and almost 100,000,000 ft. board measure more than the record low cut for the year ended 31st March, 1932. The predicted cut of 195,000,000 ft. board measure for the year ended 31st March, 1934, agrees closely with the Government Statistician's reported cut of 197,655,000 ft. board measure.

It is anticipated that the greater part of the increased production for the year will be accounted for by rimu, the demand for which has continued active both on the domestic and Australian markets.

TABLE 5.

Reported Production of Rough-sawn Timber, by Species.

(From information supplied by the Government Statistician. All figures refer to the years ended 31st March, 1932–1934.)

Species.			1932.		1933.		1934.	
			Quantity.	Percentage of Total Quantity.	Quantity.	Percentage of Total Quantity.	Quantity.	Percentage of Total Quantity.
			Ft. b.m.		Ft. b.m.		Ft. b.m.	
Rimu			71,082,000	46.1	76,193,000	45.8	96,125,000	48.6
White-pine			43,161,000	28.0	47,998,000	28.9	47,949,000	$24 \cdot 3$
Matai			7,475,000	4.8	8,161,000	4.9	10,760,000	5.5
Kauri			6,891,000	4.5	5,410,000	3.3	4,946,000	$2 \cdot 5$
Totara			5,344,000	3.5	6,347,000	3.8	7,197,000	$3 \cdot 6$
Beech			5,757,000	$3 \cdot 7$	5,781,000	3.5	6,972,000	3.6
Insignis pine			13,405,000	8.7	14,676,000	8.8	21,494,000	10.9
Miro			228,000	0.1	441,000	0.3	671,000	0.3
Tawa			163,000	0.1	290,000	0.2	214,000	0.1
Rata			122,000	0.1	72,000		97,000	0.1
Other			565,000	0.4	900,000	0.5	1,230,000	0.5
Totals			154,193,000	100.0	166,269,000	100.0	197,655,000	100.0

TABLE 6.

Reported Production of Rough-sawn Timber, by Provincial Districts.

(From information supplied by the Government Statistician. All figures refer to the years ended 31st March, 1932–1934.)

	1932.		1933.		1934.	
Provincial District.	Quantity.	Percentage of Total Quantity.	Quantity. Ft. b.m.	Percentage of Total Quantity.	Quantity. Ft. b.m.	Percentage of Total Quantity.
Auckland	63,222,000	41.0	74,261,000	44.7	83,915,000	42.5
Hawke's Bay	10,430,000	6.8	7,647,000	4.6	9,683,000	4.9
Taranaki	2,146,000	1.4	1,980,000	$1\cdot 2$	1,839,000	0.9
Wellington	15,183,000	9.8	15,157,000	9.1	21,312,000	10.8
Marlborough	5,031,000	3.3	3,374,000	2.0	4,041,000	2.0
Nelson	4,833,000	3.1	5,651,000	$3 \cdot 4$	6,461,000	3.3
Westland	34,256,000	$22 \cdot 2$	38,773,000	$23 \cdot 3$	42,316,000	21.4
Canterbury	2,854,000	1.9	2,817,000	$1 \cdot 7$	4,391,000	$2 \cdot 2$
Otago	4,017,000	2.6	2,969,000	1.8	5,682,000	2.9
Southland	12,221,000	7.9	13,640,000	8.2	18,015,000	9.1
Totals	154,193,000	100.0	166,269,000	100.0	197,655,000	100.0